

德布朗指数(de Bruijn index)

基础软件理论与实践公开课

张宏波

Review: Church numerals

- Notation:
 - do not curry, e.g. $\lambda(x, y). x$
 - do not omit parentheses when applying functions, e.g. $\lambda(f, x, y). f(x, y)$
- Chain of natural numbers

Review: Church numerals

- 0

$$\lambda(s, z). z$$

- succ

$$\lambda n. \lambda(s, z). s(n(s, z))$$

- 1: succ(0)

$$\lambda(s, z). s(z)$$

- 2: succ(1)

$$\lambda(s, z). s(s(z))$$

- 3: succ(2)

$$\lambda(s, z). s(s(s(z)))$$

Review: Church numerals

Num	Peano	Lambda
0	Z	$\lambda(s, z). z$
↓	↓	↓
1	$S(Z)$	$\lambda(s, z). s(z)$
↓	↓	↓
2	$S(S(Z))$	$\lambda(s, z). s(s(z))$
↓	↓	↓
...

Review: Church numerals

In ReScript:

```
type rec nat = Z | S(nat)
let peano_zero = Z
let peano_one = S(Z)
let peano_two = S(S(Z))

type cnum<'a> = ('a => 'a, 'a) => 'a;
let church_zero = (s, z) => z
let church_one = (s, z) => s(z)
let church_two = (s, z) => s(s(z))

let peano_succ = (x) => S(x)
let church_succ = (n) => (s, z) => s(n(s, z))
```

- Notation:

$$\bar{n} = \lambda(s, z). s^n(z)$$

Review: Church numerals

Isomorphism in ReScript:

```
let church_to_peano = (n) => n(x => S(x), Z)
let rec peano_to_church = (n) => {
  switch n {
    | Z => church_zero
    | S(n) => church_succ(peano_to_church(n))
  }
}
```

Review: Predecessor

Num	Pair	Fst
0	(0, 0)	0
↓	↓	↓
1	(0, 1)	0
↓	↓	↓
2	(1, 2)	1
↓	↓	↓
...
n	$(n - 1, n)$	$n - 1$

Review: Predecessor

$$f = \lambda p. \text{pair } (\text{second } p) (\text{succ } (\text{second } p))$$
$$\text{zero} = (\lambda f. \lambda x. x)$$
$$\text{pc0} = \text{pair } \text{zero } \text{zero}$$
$$\text{pred} = \lambda n. \text{first } (n \ f \ \text{pc0})$$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{pred three} &= \text{first } (f \ (f \ (f \ (\text{pair } \text{zero } \text{zero})))) \\ &= \text{first } (f \ (f \ (\text{pair } \text{zero } \text{one}))) \\ &= \text{first } (f \ (\text{pair } \text{one } \text{two})) \\ &= \text{first } (\text{pair } \text{two } \text{three}) \\ &= \text{two} \end{aligned}$$

Review: Predecessor

In ReScript:

```
let pred = (n) => {  
  let init = (church_zero, church_zero)  
  let iter = ((_, y)) => (y, church_succ(y))  
  let (ans, _) = n(iter, init)  
  ans  
}  
  
let church_decode = (n) => n((x) => x + 1, 0)  
  
Js.Console.log(church_decode(church_two)) // 2  
Js.Console.log(church_decode(pred(church_two))) // 1
```

References (for interested audience)

- TAPL: Sec 5-7

Review

- Evaluate closed term, call by value

```
let rec eval = (t: lambda) => {
  switch t {
  | Var(_) => assert false
  | Fn(_, _) => t
  | App(f, arg) => {
    let Fn(x, body) = eval(f)
    let va = eval(arg)
    eval(subst(x, va, body)) // substitution explained later
  }
  }
}
```

Substitution without free variables

```
// v must be closed. a[v/x]
let rec subst = (x, v, a) => {
  switch a {
    | Var(y) => if x == y { v } else { a }
    | Fn(y, b) => if x == y { a } else { Fn(y, subst(x, v, b)) }
    | App(b, c) => App(subst(x, v, b), subst(x, v, c))
  }
}
```

- For example,

$$(\lambda y. (\lambda z. z + a) y)[\bar{1}/a] \rightarrow (\lambda y. (\lambda z. z + \bar{1}) y)$$

Substitution with non-closed terms

The substitution of N for free occurrence of x in M , denoted by $M[N/x]$, is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}x[N/x] &= N, \\y[N/x] &= y, && \text{if } x \neq y, \\(MP)[N/x] &= (M[N/x])(P[N/x]), \\(\lambda x. M)[N/x] &= \lambda x. M, \\(\lambda y. M)[N/x] &= \lambda y. (M[N/x]), && \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } y \notin FV(N),\end{aligned}$$

Question: what if the *binder* $y \in FV(N)$, for example

$$(\lambda y. xy)[\lambda z. yz/x] = ?$$

Rename the binder to unique names

- The terms $\lambda x. x$ and $\lambda y. y$ are essentially the same
- Roughly speaking, names do not matter

```
// the new name must be unique like JS new symbol
let rename = (t, old, new) => {
  let rec go = (t) => {
    switch t {
    | Var(x) => if x == old { Var(new) } else { t }
    | Fn(x, a) => if x == old { Fn(new, go(a)) } else {Fn(x, go(a))}
    | App(a, b) => App(go(a), go(b))
    }
  }
  go(t)
}
```

Alpha equivalence

Formally,

$$\lambda x. M =_{\alpha} \lambda y. (M\{y/x\})$$

where $M\{y/x\}$ is the result of renaming x as y in M , defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x\{y/x\} &= y, \\
 z\{y/x\} &= z, && \text{if } x \neq z, \\
 (MN)\{y/x\} &= (M\{y/x\})(N\{y/x\}), \\
 (\lambda x. M)\{y/x\} &= \lambda y. (M\{y/x\}), \\
 (\lambda z. M)\{y/x\} &= \lambda z. (M\{y/x\}), && \text{if } x \neq z.
 \end{aligned}$$

- The new name y must be *fresh*

Substitution

- Always rename

```
// t[u/x] where u might have free variables
let rec subst = (t, x, u) => {
  switch t {
  | Var(y) => if x == y { u } else { t }
  | Fn(y, b) => if x == y { t } else {
    let y' = fresh_name ()
    let b' = rename(b, y, y')
    Fn(y', subst(b', x, u))
  }
  | App(a, b) => App(subst(a, x, u), subst(b, x, u))
  }
}
```

- Free variables calculation is not needed when we always do the renaming

Example

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x. yx)[\lambda z. xz/y] &=_{\alpha} (\lambda x'. yx')[\lambda z. xz/y] \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x'. (\lambda z. xz)x'\end{aligned}$$

- why substitution matters when the interpreter with environment is more efficient?

Example

- Capture-free Inlining

```
let x = ... in
let f = fun a -> x + a in
let g = fun x -> f(x) + x in ...
```

- wrong result

```
let x = ... in
let f = fun a -> x + a in
let g = fun x -> (let a = x in x + a) + x in ...
```

- what would be correct?

De Bruijn index

- Names don't matter. So we don't need them
- For example, $\lambda x. \lambda y. x(y(x))$ corresponds to $\lambda. \lambda. 1(0(1))$
- The number i stands for the variable bound by the i th binder λ
- Exercise: write down the de bruijn term for $Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$
- Used in Caml light, MoscowML VM
- We already learnt the De bruijn index in our tiny languages.

Binders

- Let expression binds a function or expression to a variable

for example, in ReScript:

```
let f = a => a
let x = 2
f(x)
```

- Pattern matching introduces binders

for example, in ReScript:

```
switch p {
| (a, b) => a + b
}
```

Binders

- let-expression: tiny language 2

```

type rec expr =
  ...
  | Var (int)
  | Let (expr, expr)
  
```

for example, `Let(x , 1, Add(Var(x), Cst(1)))` becomes `Let(1, Add(Var(0), Cst(1)))`

- Pattern matching

```

switch p {
  C(a, b) => a + b
}
  
```

```

switch Var(i) {
  C(_, _) => Var(0) + Var(1)
}
  
```

Substitution in De Bruijn notation

- The index for the *substitued* variable can change

$$(x \times (\lambda y. x + y) (z))[\bar{2}/x] = \bar{2} \times (\lambda y. \bar{2} + y)(z)$$

$$(0 \times (\lambda . 1 + 0) (3))[\bar{2}/0] = \bar{2} \times (\lambda . \bar{2} + 0)(3)$$

```
// t[u/i]: use u to replace Var(i) in term t
let rec subst = (t, i, u) => {
  switch t {
    ...
    | Fn(b) => Fn(subst(b, i+1, u))
    ...
  }
}
```

Substitution in De Bruijn notation

- Shift the term `u`

$$(x \times (\lambda y. x + y) (z))[w/x] = w \times (\lambda y. w + y)(z)$$

$$(0 \times (\lambda . 1 + 0) (3))[2/0] = 2 \times (\lambda . 3 + 0)(3)$$

- Notice: w becomes `Var(3)` when substitution goes under a binder

```
// t[u/i]: use u to replace Var(i) in term t
let rec subst = (t, i, u) => {
  switch t {
  | Var(j) => if j == i { u } else { t }
  | Fn(b) => Fn(subst(b, i+1, shift(1, u)))
  | App(a, b) => App(subst(a, i, u), subst(b, i, u))
  }
}
```

Shift

- Shift should be only applied to *unbound variables*
- For example,

$$\uparrow^1 \text{Var}(i) = \text{Var}(i + 1)$$

- How about

$$\uparrow^1 (\lambda. 0)(1) = ???$$

shift_aux(i, d, t) where **d** is the cutoff

- Formally,

$$\uparrow_d^i(j) = j, \quad \text{if } j < d$$

$$\uparrow_d^i(j) = i + j, \quad \text{if } j \geq d$$

$$\uparrow_d^i(\lambda. t) = \lambda. \uparrow_{d+1}^i(t),$$

$$\uparrow_d^i(t_1 t_2) = \uparrow_d^i(t_1) \uparrow_d^i(t_2)$$

- shift(i, t) = shift_aux(i, 0, t)
- unbound variables shifted by **i**, bounded variables kept intact

Implementation

- Shift

```
// Var(j) becomes Var(i+j) if j >= d
let rec shift_aux = (i, d, u) => {
  switch u {
  | Var(j) => { if j >= d { Var(i+j) } else { u } }
  | Fn(b) => Fn(shift_aux(i, d+1, b))
  | App(a, b) =>
      App(shift_aux(i, d, a), shift_aux(i, d, b))
  }
}
let shift = (i, u) => shift_aux(i, 0, u)
```

Implementation

```
// t[u/i]: use u to replace Var(i) in term t
let rec subst = (t, i, u) => {
  switch t {
  | Var(j) => if j == i { u } else { t }
  | Fn(b) => Fn(subst(b, i+1, shift(1, u)))
  | App(a, b) => App(subst(a, i, u), subst(b, i, u))
  }
}
```

- `shift` is a `nop` for closed term.
- Why we generalized `shift` for numbers other than 1 ?

Interpreter

Don't forget shift the index by -1 after we drop a binder

For example,

$$(\lambda x. (\lambda y. x + y)(a)) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x. x + a)$$

$$(\lambda . (\lambda . 1 + 0)(3)) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda . 0 + 3)$$

Summary

How represent variables

- Symbolically: fresh names to avoid capture
- Symbolically with constraint: stamp
- More aggressive: single assignment for binders
- De Bruijn index: no need to rename but hard to manipulate
- Combinatory logic: no variables involved

Homework

- Complete the de Bruijn index based interpreter in natural semantics
- Apply the de Bruijn index for extended lambda calculus (+ Let)

```
type rec debru =  
  | Var (int)  
  | App (debru, debru)  
  | Fun (debru)  
  | Let (debru, debru)
```